

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Viguina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI                                   | LING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| 09/512,497      | 02/24/2000                           |               | Daniel M. Kinzer     | IR-1649(2-1939) 5663 |                 |
| 2352            | 7590                                 | 09/25/2003    |                      |                      |                 |
|                 |                                      | ER GERB & SOF | EXAMINER             |                      |                 |
|                 | UE OF THE AMERICAS<br>, NY 100368403 |               |                      | SEFER, AHMED N       |                 |
|                 |                                      |               |                      | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER    |
|                 |                                      |               |                      | 2826                 |                 |

DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
|---|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 09/512,497   | KINZER ET AL.  |
| Advisory Action   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |
|   | A. Sefer   | 2826   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |
| THE REPLY FILED 14 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T<br>Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | roid abandonment of this applica<br>a timely filed amendment which<br>(with appeal fee); or (3) a timely   | ation. A proper reply to a hplaces the application in  |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | PLY [check either a) or b)]  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF).   | Brief must be filed within the pe  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be   | ecause:  |  |
| (a)   they raise new issues that would require further  | er consideration and/or search (s  | see NOTE below);   |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b  | elow);   |  |
| <ul> <li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in<br/>issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>  | n better form for appeal by mate   | rially reducing or simplifying the   |
| (d)  they present additional claims without canceli<br>NOTE:  | ng a corresponding number of fi  | inally rejected claims.  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject  | ion(s):  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | be allowable if submitted in a se  | eparate, timely filed amendment  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Set  |  | dered but does NOT place the   |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | ause it is not directed SOLELY t   | o issues which were newly  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we   |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |  |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is  | a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp   | roved by the Examiner.   |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer  | nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  |  |
| 10. Other:  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | PEYNN  |
|   | TECUNION   | X MINER  |
|   | TECHNOLOGIC  | Zivi ex 2800   |
|   |  |  |

Continuation of 5.: Although reference numerals 45 and 46 were mistakenly identified as gate stripes and gate bodies, the prior art clearly designates reference numerals 45 and 46 as gate bodies and gate stripes respectively as recited in claim 1.